IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No. 1829 of 1983

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

SHAH CHANDULAL MAGANLAL - Applicant(s)

Versus

PATEL MATHURBHAI BAKORBHAI & 4 - Opponent(s)

==========

Appearance :
UNSERVED-EXPIRED (N) for Petitioner No(s).: 1.
MR AM JOSHI) for Petitioner No(s).: 1.

RULE NOT RECD BACK for Respondent No(s).: 1,2 - 3, 4,5.
None for Respondent No(s).: 3.
==========
=

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

Date : 18/07/2005

ORAL JUDGMENT

1. From the record it appears that Mr. A.M.Joshi, learned advocate who has filed appearance on behalf of the petitioner has died. Registry has therefore issued notice to the petitioner informing him about the demise Mr. A.M.Joshi, learned advocate and also to remain personally present before this Court or by an advocate. It appears that the said notice has returned unserved as the applicant has also expired. The record further reveals that the Rule issued to the opponents has not not been received back so far.

2. The order which is impugned in this Civil Revision Application was passed on 30.7.1983 in Regular Dharkhast No. 111 of 1982 and this Revision Application came to be admitted in the year 1982 by issuing 'Rule'. Therefore, according to this Court no useful purpose would be served in keeping this Revision Application pending. Hence, the Civil Revision Application stands dismissed for want of further prosecution. Rule is discharged.

(A.M.Kapadia,J)

Jayanti*